UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/560,203	04/28/2000	Terry Erisman	TEE 2000-1	3365	
23694 7590 12/19/2006 J. NICHOLAS GROSS, ATTORNEY 2030 ADDISON ST.			EXAMINER		
			FELTEN, DANIEL S		
SUITE 610 BERKELEY, CA 94704			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3693	1 	
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/19/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/560,203	ERISMAN, TERRY	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Daniel S. Felten	3693	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daniel S. Felten	3693				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 13 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co. They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1:		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the f						
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	□ will not be entered, or b) □ wil	l be entered and an e	explanation of			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			, promotion of			
Claim(s) objected to:			•			
Claim(s) rejected:		•				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	•		•			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11 The request for reconsideration has been considered by	t does NOT place the application in	oondition for allower	naa haaayaa			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu		i condition for allowar	ice because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	Q_				
13. Other:	S	18	-			
A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	> 15/15/06.	Ex. Daniel Felten AU 3693				
_ / James Ku	amer	Business Mehods				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)